26

27

28

defendant Allstate Insurance Company hereby removes this action to this Court on the ground

On September 6, 2007, an action was commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco, entitled Donald Sing, Betty Sing v. Allstate Insurance Company and Does 1-100," Case No. CGC-07-466924 (the "Superior Court Action").

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

- 2. In the Superior Court Action, plaintiffs seek damages arising out of an alleged breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and breach of fiduciary duty. (Complaint, ¶ 8.)
- 3. Plaintiffs served their complaint on Allstate's registered agent on September 18, 2007. Allstate has not yet answered the Complaint.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit 1 are true and correct copies of the pleadings in the Superior Court Action with which Allstate has been served, which include the Summons and Complaint.

JURISDICTION

- 5. Allstate is informed and believes that plaintiffs are, and were when they filed the Superior Court Action, residents of the State of California.
- Defendant Allstate is, and was at the time of filing of the Superior Court Action, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, with its principal place of business in the city of Northbrook, Illinois.
- 7. "Doe" defendants must be disregarded in determining diversity of citizenship. 28 U.S.C. section 1441(a) ("For purposes of removal under this chapter, the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded.").
 - 8. Accordingly, this action is between citizens of different states.

AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY

- 9. Given the several categories of damages sought by plaintiffs, the amount in controversy in the Superior Court Action, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the \$75,000 jurisdictional minimum.
- 10. The complaint was filed in the "Unlimited Jurisdiction" division of the Superior Court, which has a jurisdictional minimum of \$25,000, exclusive of attorneys' fees, interest, or costs. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §§ 85, 86.
- 11. Plaintiffs also seek an undisclosed amount of damages, including interest. (Complaint, ¶ 10.)
- 12. Additionally, plaintiffs seek to recover attorney's fees. (Complaint, ¶ 10.) Attorney's fees reasonably incurred to compel payment of insurance policy benefits are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

recoverable as an element of damages under Brandt v. Superior Court, 37 Cal. 3d 813 (1985), and therefore must be included in calculating the amount of controversy. Galt G/S v. JSS Scandinavia, 142 F.3d 1150, 1155-56 (9th Cir. 1998).

- 13. Plaintiffs' counsel advised counsel for Allstate that plaintiffs' actual damages approximate \$75,000, and plaintiffs seek punitive damages in excess of that amount, and would not stipulate that they seek less than \$75,000. A true and correct copy of a letter from Kimberly De Hope to Randall Choy is attached as Exhibit 2.
- 14. Plaintiffs also seek an undisclosed amount of punitive damages. (Complaint, Exemplary Damages Attachment.) In calculating the amount in controversy, the Court must consider the punitive damages that may be recovered by a plaintiffs if their claim for punitive damages should prevail. Surber v. Reliance Nat. Indem. Co., 110 F. Supp. 2d 1227, 1232 (N.D. Cal. 2000).
- 15. Even under the United States Supreme Court's decision in State Farm Mut. Automobile Ins. Co. v. Campbell, 123 S. Ct. 1513 (2003), any punitive damages award in this case will likely exceed \$75,000. In Campbell, the court held that punitive-damages awards larger than nine times the amount of compensatory damages would rarely comport with due process, and that ratios larger than 4:1 would be constitutionally suspect. *Id.* at 1524. Here, plaintiffs have alleged at least \$25,000 in damages. Even under Campbell's stringent limitations, if plaintiffs succeed in proving Allstate's conduct was reprehensible, as they allege, their punitive damage award therefore would almost certainly exceed \$75,000.

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

16. Based on the foregoing, this Court has original jurisdiction over the Superior Court Action under 28 U.S.C. section 1332. Plaintiffs and Allstate are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. This action may therefore be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1441(a) and 1446.

WHEREFORE, Allstate hereby gives notice that this action has been removed, in its entirety, from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Dated: October 2007 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP Attorneys for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY 27279565\V-1 Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 525 Market Street, $26^{\rm H}$ Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000

Document 1-2

Case 3:07-cv-05300-EMC

Page 4 of 13

Filed 10/17/2007

EXHIBIT 1

9096126806

ALLSTATE ECL

PAGE 04/09

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES 1 to 100	9-18-07
you are being sued by plaintiff: /Lo esta demandando el demandante) : Donald sing, betty sing	2:22pm 2:22pm
You have 20 CAL ENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal naners are served	

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form, if you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral services if you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carla o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de Californía (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfitelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California.

(www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfnelp/espanol/) o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el cole	iegio de acogados locales.	
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	CASE NUMBER: D = 07-466	924
SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED CIVI	L JURISDICTION	
400 McAllister Street		
San Francisco, CA 94102		
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an	n attorney, is:	
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del de		
	ie No. (415)778-0800	
HEDANI, CHOY, SPALDING & SALVAGIONE	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
595 Market Street, Suite 1100, San Francisco, CA	4 94105 P. NATT	
DATE DEA MORA Clark by		, Deputy
(Fecha) Gordon Park-11 (Secretario)		(Adjunto
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-014	10).)·	,
Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summon		
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are serve		
(SEAL) 1, as an individual defendant,		
2. as the person sued under the fictitious name	ne of (specify):	
	1-7	
·	AT*	
3. Don behalf of (specify): ALLSTIPTE	· INSULANCE COU	א היי אה נותוא די
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)	
CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)		
CCP 416.40 (association or partn		
other (energity)		Log person)
4. A by personal delivery on (date):	<i>'</i> ?-07	
	0.01	Bana 4 a4 4
·	•	Page 1 of 1

9096126806

ALLSTATE ECL

PAGE 05/09

4	• 600	ċ	PLD-G-	ภก
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (AM			FOR COURT USE ONLY	
RANDALL P. CHOY, ES				
HEDANI, CHOY, SPALD			ENDORSED	
595 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 9	aive Tinc		FILED	
TELEPHONE NO.: (415) 778-08		5) 778-0700	San Francisco County Superior Court	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	•		SEP ~ 6 2007	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintif	fs DONALD SING and	BETTY SING		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFOR STREET ADDRESS: 400 MCA11		NCISCO	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk	
STREET ADDRESS; 400 MCALL MAILING ADDRESS;	.ister Street		BY: PARAM NATT Deputy Clerk	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Franc	isco, CA 94102	•	Deputy Clark	
	CIVIL JURISDICTIO	Ŋ		
PLAINTIFF: DONALD SING	3, BETTY SING		ASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SET	
DEFENDANT: ALLSTATE II	VSURANCE COMPANY. =	നർ		
	TOTAL COMMITTEE	1104	FEB - 8 2008 -9MAM	
X DOES 1 TO 100			1 25 O 2000 - G-Alli	
	CONTRACT		·	
COMPLAINT	AMENDED COMPLAINT (Nui	nber):	DEPARTMENT 212	
CROSS-COMPLAINT	AMENDED CROSS-COMPLA	NT (Number) :		
	· ·	in the many		
Jurisdiction (check all that apply)			CASE NUMBER:	_
Amount demanded do		•		
	es not exceed \$10,000 ceeds \$10,000 but does not ex	and \$25 000		
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED C	IVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	• •	000 07 544004	
	y this amended complaint or c	oss-complaint	CGC-87-466924	
from limited to unlimited from unlimited to limited	•		,	
1. Plaintiff* (name or names): DC		TNG		···
·				
alleges causes of action against	defendant* (name or names) :	ALLSTATE INS	URANCE COMPANY, and	
DOES 1 to 100 This pleading, including attachmo	anto and authibits canalate of the	f-11-11-1-1		
 a. Each plaintiff named above k 	sins and exhibite, tonsists of the	iollowing number of p	eges: 6	
except plaintiff (name) :	•		•	
(1) a corporation qu	alified to do business in Californ	a ·	•	
(2) an unincorporat (3) other (specify):	ed entity (describe) :			
(3) Land Other (specny):				
b. 🔲 Plaintiff (name) :	•			
a. In has complied with the	e fictitious business name laws a	nd is doing business (under the fictitious name (specify):	
		•		
b. has complied with all c. Information about addition	licensing requirements as a licer nal plaintiffs who are not compet	ised <i>(specify)</i> :	Attack court to	
a. Each defendant named above	riai plainuns who are not compet 3 is a natural person	aur adnirs is suomu iu	Attachment 3c,	
except defendant (name)):	except defe	ndant (name) :	
ALLSTATE INSUR				
	anization, form unknown		ousiness organization, form unknown	
(2) a corporation (3) an unincorporation	ted entity (describe) :		corporation	
		(3) Lan	unincorporated entity (describe):	
(4) a public entity (describe) :	(4) 🗀 a.p	public entity (describe):	
(5) ather (specify):		(5) 🛄 otin	ner (specify) :	
*16 strim &	977 Ulasi et à prite-remodel-i elelette			
orm Approved for Optional Use	orm is used as a cross-complaint, plaintiff means	anas-compisinani and defendan	It miters cross-defendent. Page 1 of	12

9096126806

ALLSTATE ECL

PAGE 05/09

.,		4		6	Ψ.		1	•			PLD-C-001
SI S	HORT	TITLE:	STATE	INSURANC	E COMPAN	č, et	al.		CASE NUMBER:		
4.	(Cor	(1) X Do	e defend:	fendants sued a ants (specify Do	e numbers):	3	L-IO	v	were the agents	s or employees of	the named
•		(2) XI: Doc	e defend: intiff.	and acted within ants (specify Do	e numbers):	1	<u> 11-100</u>)	• • •	ose capacities are	unknown to
	c. d.	. Informat	tion abou	it additional defe are joined unde	endants who are r Code of Civil I	e not na Proced	aturai pers ure sectio	sons is contai n 382 are <i>(na</i>	ined in Attachm imes):	ent 4c.	
5.		Plaintiff is re	quired to	comply with a code with a policable	iaims statute, a e claims statute	nd .					
		b. is a	excused i	from complying I	oecause (specii	fy):				•	
6,		This action is	s subject	to Civi	i Code section	1812.1		Civil Code sec	ction 2984.4.		
7.	a,	court is the p	dant ente	urt because ered into the con d here when the	iract here.	ntered	into.		•	•	
	b. c. d.	a defendation	dant live: tract was	s here now. to be performed	i here.		•	te orincipal D	ece of husines	e te here.	`.
•	ę. f. g.	a defen real pro other (s	perty tha	corporation or u t is the subject o	nincorporated a of this action is	associa located	here.	ta principal pi	ace of busines	g ig jididi	
8.	mor	following cau e causes of a Breach of Co Common Co Other (specia	ction atta ontract unts fy):	eched):	the Cov	enani	t of G			must have one or	3
9.		Other allegat	tions:				•				
10.	Pla a. b.	X damage X interest (1)	es of: \$ on the de accord at the 's fees	ent for costs of su amages ting to proof rate of <i>(specify</i>				and equitable	e; and for		
	d.	(2) X other (s)		ing to proof. Prejudg	ment int	eres	t acco	ording t	o proof		
11.	X	The paragra	aphs of t	nis pleading alleç	ged on informat	ion and	l belief an	e as follows (s	specify paregra	ph_aumbers):	
Dat	te:	Septembe RANI	DALL	2007	·		1	MI	ATURE OF PLANTIFF	OR ATTORNEY)	
			() I FE ON		you wish to ven			affix a verifica			
PLD	C-001	Rev. January 1, 200	73		COMPI	LAINT -	Contract	t		_	Page 2 of 2

9096126806

ALLSTATE ECL

PAGE 07/09

		PLD-PI-001(6)
SHORT TO SING V	TITLE: v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.	,
	Exemplary Damages Attachment Pa	ge _4
ATTAC	ACHMENT TO Complaint Cross-Complaint	
EX-1.	I. As additional damages against defendant (name): ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY	
·	Plaintiff alleges defendant was gulity of Imalice Imali)S
	 The facts supporting plaintiff's claim are as follows: Knowingly obtaining estimates for repair costs which were sufficient to effectuate actual repair of the property; 	re not
	 Repeatedly refusing to extend policy benefits for housi costs, when defendants knew that plaintiffs' home was not repaired nor habitable; 	.ng
	3. Intentionally delaying the adjustment process; and	
	4. Canceling plaintiffs' policy.	•

All of the above were done to gain pecuniary advantage to defendants, while attempting to pay less than reasonable value of plaintiffs' claims.

EX-3. The amount of exemplary damages sought is

a. not shown, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.10.

b. X \$ In amounts sufficient to punish and/or set an example of defendants.

Page 1 of 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

24

25

26

27

28

9410B

PAGE 5

ALLSTATE INSURANCE

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH & FAIR DEALING

Second Cause of Action - 1: Plaintiffs refer to, and incorporate by reference thereby, all allegations contained in their complaint, and their first cause of action.

Second Cause of Action -2: There exists by statute and common law, the duty of good faith and fair dealing, in all contracts, and specifically those involving insurance contracts. There exists by statute and common

Second Cause of Action - 3: Defendants have breached the count of good faith and fair dealing, by, inter alia, failing to: (Insurance Code Section 790.03(h))

- Misrepresenting to claimants pertinent facts or insurance policy provisions relating to any coverages at issue.
- Failing to acknowledge and act reasonably promptly (2) , upon communications with respect to claims arising under insurance policies.
- Failing to adopt and implement reasonable standards (3) for the prompt investigation and processing of claims
- arising under insurance policies. Failing to affirm or deny coverage of claims within a (4) reasonable time after proof of loss requirements have
- been completed and submitted by the insured. Not attempting in good faith to effectuate prompt, (5) fair, and equitable settlements of claims in which liability has become reasonably clear.
- Compelling insureds to institute litigation to (6) recover amounts due under an insurance policy by. offering substantially less than the amounts ultimately recovered in actions brought by the insureds, when the insureds have made claims for amounts reasonably similar to the amounts ultimately recovered.
- (7) Attempting to settle a claim by an insured for less than the amount to which a reasonable man would have believed he was entitled to written or printed advertising material accompanying or made part of an application.
- Failing to provide promptly a reasonable explanation (8) of the basis relied on in the insurance policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the denial of a claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement.

9096126806

ALLSTATE ECL

PAGE 09/09

CASE NUMBER: CGC-07-466924 DONALD SING et al VS. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPAN

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF

A Case Management Conference is set for

DATE:

FEB-08-2008

TIME:

9:00AM

PLACE:

Department 212

400 McAllister Street

San Francisco, CA 94102-3680

All parties must appear and comply with Local Rule 3.

CRC 3.725 requires the filing and service of a case management statement form CM-110 no later than 15 days before the case management conference.

However, it would facilitate the issuance of a case management order without an appearance at the case management conference if the case management statement is filed, served and lodged in Department 212 twenty-five (25) days before the case management

Plaintiff must serve a copy of this notice upon each party to this action with the summons and complaint. Proof of service subsequently filed with this court shall so state.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION POLICY REQUIREMENTS

IT IS THE POLICY OF THE SUPERIOR COURT THAT EVERY CIVIL CASE PARTICIPATE IN EITHER MEDIATION, JUDICIAL OR NON-JUDICIAL ARBITRATION, THE EARLY SETTLEMENT PROGRAM OR SOME SUITABLE FORM OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PRIOR TO A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE OR TRIAL. (SEE LOCAL RULE 4)

Plaintiff must serve a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint. All counsel must discuss ADR with clients and opposing counsel and provide clients with a copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package prior to filing the Case Management Statement.

[DEFENDANTS: Attending the Case Management Conference does not take the place of filing a written response to the complaint. You must file a written response with the court within the time limit required by law. See Summons.]

Superior Court Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator 400 McAllister Street, Room 103 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 551-3876

See Local Rules 3.6, 6.0 C and 10 D re stipulation to commissioners and 10 D restipulation to commissioners and 10 D restipula

EXHIBIT 2



Kimberly E. De Hope 415.882.0360 kdehope@sonnenschein.com 525 Market Street 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2708 415.882.5000 415.882.0300 fax www.sonnenschein.com

October 17, 2007

VIA FACSIMILE

Randall Choy, Esq. Hedani, Choy, Spalding & Salvagione 595 Market St., Ste. 1100 San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Sing v. Allstate Ins. Co.

Dear Mr. Choy:

When we spoke on September 24, 2007, we discussed the damages plaintiffs seek in this action. As I told you, Allstate needs that information to determine whether plaintiffs seek damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum for federal court. You advised me that plaintiffs' actual damages were likely around \$75,000, and that they seek punitive damages in addition to that amount. You said you would consider the total amount of damages plaintiffs seek and get back to me. I have not yet received any response from you. Accordingly, based upon our discussion, the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates that plaintiffs seek in excess of \$75,000. If this is incorrect, please notify me immediately.

Very truly yours,

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

By:

Kimberly E. De Hope

Brussels

Charlotte

Chicago

Dallas

Kansas City

Los Angeles

New York

Kemberly De Hope /m

Phoenix

St. Louis